IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

VS.	ACTION FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,	DECLARATORY RELIEF
Defendants and Counterclaimants.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
VS.	
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,	
Counterclaim Defendants,	
MOHAMMAD HAMED,	Case No.: SX-2014-CV-278
Plaintiff,	ACTION FOR DEBT AND CONVERSION
VS.	
FATHI YUSUF,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	
MOHAMMAD HAMED,	Case No.: SX-2014-CV-287
Plaintiff,	ACTION FOR DEBT AND CONVERSION
VS.	
UNITED CORPORATION,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

REPLY TO YUSUF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE THE "REVISED BDO REPORT"

Page 2 – Hamed's Reply to Yusuf's Opposition to Motion to Strike BDO Report

Despite Yusuf's efforts to put a positive spin on it, Judge Brady's scathing criticism of the BDO report gutted it. Undaunted, Yusuf now submits a "*revised*" BDO report, reduced from 62 pages to a 1 page accounting spreadsheet. Yusuf touts this declaration as a "compilation" of *offsetting claims*. The revised spreadsheet is still defective, as it is nothing more than a simple invasion into the tasks assigned to the Special Master. Moreover, additional 'discovery' will not correct this obvious flaw.

I. The revised BDO report improperly attempts to usurp the Master's role

The revised BDO report tries to "package' an "expert opinion" about multiple, individual claims into one "super claim", seeking \$4.5 million from Hamed, as a "standalone" single claim. It is not. Instead, BDO's revised report is an attempt to **decide** multiple claims that the Master needs to resolve -- without any evidentiary or testimonial support -- and then group them into one "claim." However, the task of verifying or rejecting each of these claims (and any offsets) one at a time, is for the Special Master to decide based on actual evidence, not BDO's say so.

A review of this *revised* report confirms that **each entry is a** *separate* claim that cannot be lumped together, as the Master must find that *each one* is valid. For example:

- 1. BDO still seeks to 'assess' Waleed (Wally) Hamed for \$1,778,103 for "Amount owed by Hamed family to Yusuf as per agreement before raid Sept 2001." (Emphasis added). See Exhibit 1. However, as noted in the reply seeking to strike this claim, filed at the same time as this response, Judge Brady made it clear that transactions that predated September 17, 2006, were now barred. Thus, the inclusion of this claim in the "revised" BDO report is in direct violation of this Court's order. More to the point here, only the Master, not BDO, can decide if this claim is still valid or is barred.
- BDO allocates \$4.1 million in attorney's fees to Hamed and \$237,691 to Yusuf for the defense of the criminal case. Those "accounting entries" actually consist of "findings" that this allocation is appropriate, which is a finding reserved for the Special Master, not BDO.¹

¹ BDO still ignores the un-contradicted declaration of Gordon Rhea, the lawyer who headed up the legal team for the criminal case, submitted at the March 6th hearing that all legal work was done jointly on behalf of all of the Yusuf/Hamed defendants, so that such an allocation was improper. See **Exhibit 7** to Hamed's initial motion re BDO.

- 3. Yusuf argues on pp. 10-12 of its opposition that BDO *did* take into account the fact that Mafi Hamed earned rents outside of his Plaza income, attaching Table 26B from BDO's report for Scotia account 9811 that supposedly supports this argument. However, a review of that table confirms that BDO **did not make any such adjustment for the years prior to 2012**, despite Mafi's testimony that **all deposits into this account were rent from a business unrelated to Plaza Extra**. See **Exhibit 2**. Thus, this obviously incorrect allocation is **STILL** in the BDO report and **STILL** consists of a "finding" that this bank account contains partnership withdrawals.² More to the point, not only is this "accounting entry" incorrect, **but it involves a finding reserved for the Master.**
- 4. BDO includes the Hamed \$2.7 million claim (conceded by Yusuf) as simply an accounting entry, which it then offsets with other claims, even though that is a pending claim now before the Master to decide, as will be the case regarding any offsetting claims. Again, BDO is attempting to replace the Master.

These few examples make it clear that Yusuf is trying to use this "revised" BDO report

to have BDO make "findings" that are in fact separate "judgments" as to ultimate issues

-- decisions entrusted to the Special Master, not BDO.

In short, each BDO accounting entry constitutes a separate claim. While Yusuf is

free to raise any claims that Hamed withdrew funds to which he is entitled to an offset,

he must present evidence proving each such specific claim to the Special Master,

not just say "allow it because BDO says so" in an accountant's "compilation" summary.

II. Yusuf's request to do more discovery will not correct this fatal flaw

Because the very nature of the revised BDO report is flawed, no amount of discovery will cure this defect. Indeed, the mere suggestion that this report may be further modified "after discovery" runs afoul of the Bar Orders entered in this accounting phase. BDO's \$4.5 million claim is set, which is nothing more than an unwarranted intrusion into the Master's task of deciding claims. Thus, further discovery

² See **Exhibit 2**, attached hereto, with markings in red where no such alleged adjustment was made. It is amazing that Yusuf argues that Mafi's March 6th testimony was addressed by BDO, when no adjustment was made. When will such antics stop?

Page 4 -- Hamed's Reply to Yusuf's Opposition to Motion to Strike BDO Report

would be a waste of time and resources, further delaying this matter, as such discovery will not cure this fatal defect. It would also contravene Judge Brady's orders.

III. Conclusion

The revised BDO report--that "packages" multiple "findings" into an alleged single "claim" of \$4.5 million--should be stricken as invading the province of the Special Master, who has been entrusted with the sole responsibility of making such findings. Moreover, additional discovery will not change the simple fact that it is the Special Master, not BDO, who has been entrusted to make these decisions. Thus, BDO's one page "compilation" should be stricken now, allowing the Master to make all decisions on each individual claim, rather than allowing BDO to try grouping such findings it made into a single claim.

Dated: January 22, 2017

Joel H. Holt, Esq. Law Offices of Joel H. Holt 2132 Company Street, Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: holtvi@aol.com Tele: (340) 773-8709 Fax: (340) 773-867

Carl J. Hartmann III, Esq. 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, L6 Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: carl@carlhartmann.com Page 5 - Hamed's Reply to Yusuf's Opposition to Motion to Strike BDO Report

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of January, 2018, I served a copy of the foregoing by email (via Case Anywhere ECF), as agreed by the parties, on:

Hon. Edgar Ross, Special Master % edgarrossjudge@hotmail.com

Gregory H. Hodges Stefan Herpel Charlotte Perrell Law House, 10000 Frederiksberg Gade P.O. Box 756 St. Thomas, VI 00802 ghodges@dtflaw.com

Mark W. Eckard

Hamm, Eckard, LLP 5030 Anchor Way Christiansted, VI 00820 mark@markeckard.com

Jeffrey B. C. Moorhead

CRT Brow Building 1132 King Street, Suite 3 Christiansted, VI 00820 jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE/WITH RULE 6-1(e)

This document complies with the page or word limitation set forth in Rule 6-1(e).

Dudley, Topper and Feuerzeig, LLP United Corporation	ITEM #4		ITEM #1		ITEM #2	
Civil No. SX-12-CV-99	1				/	
Summary calculation of Additional Income	as a soult of withdraws	k from Supermarkets	accounts for partner	hin's accounts) . Janu	1004 to August 2014	(Including adjustm
Summary of Withdrawals			accounts (or partner	nip's accounts) - sand	a y 1994 w August 2014	. (including aujustin
Description	Mohammad	Walee	Han Waheed	ied Mufeed	Hisham	Total
unds received from partnership through hecks	\$ 1,500,000.00	s .	\$ -	5 -	S - S	1,500,000.00
Withdrawals from the partnership with a signed ticket/receipt	-	237,352.75	×.			237,352.75
Amount owed by Hamed family to Yusuf as per agreement before raid Sept 2001. As per Alike's testimony these tickets were burned. (Refer to Letter dated August 15, 2012)	-	1,778,103.00		-		1,778,103.00
ayments to third parties on behalf of lamed/Yusuf with partnership funds either vith tickets or checks		20,311.00		-	-	20,311.00
^p ayments to Attomøys with partnership's Tunds	*	3,749,495.48	372,155.95		4	4,121,651.43
unds received by cashier's checks	•		1			
Total Partnership	1,500,000.00	5,785,262.23	372, 155.95		-	7,657,418.18
eposits to bank and brokerage accounts	16,505.80	430,439.13	100,000.00	306,999.56	510,061.57	1,364,006.06
ayments to credit cards	-	422,824.70		179,786.80		602,611.50
nvestments (cost) sold as per tax returns	-		~	- ·	· ·	*
Subtotal Lifestyle analysis	16,505.80	853,263.83	100,000.00	486,786.36	510,061.57	1,966,617.56
Net Withdrawals	\$ 1,516,505.80	\$ 6,638,526.06	\$ 472,155.95	\$ 486,786.36	S 510,061.57 S	9,624,035.74

Note:

BD

1 Total amounts include adjustments made for withdrawals in 2016.

ITEM #3

2208	EXHIBIT	
Blumberg No. 5208	1	

BDO Dudley, Topper and Feuerzeig, LLP Mohammad Hamed v. Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation Civil No. SX-12-CV-99

(October 2001 to December 2012)

ccount Owner: inancial Institution: /pe of Account: :count Number:	Mufeed Hamed / Wally H Scotiabank Checking Account 058-45609811	amed				rred by J ady's Opi
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits	Adjustment	jujusted Amount	Tickmarks: Note
2001	10/15/2001	10/1/2001	\$ 5,000.00	\$ (1,000.02)	\$ 4,000.00	A, B, 1
2001	11/15/2001		No deposit		+ 1,000.00	2
2001	12/15/2001		No deposit			2
Total Year 2001			5,000.00	(1,000.00)	4,000.00	-
2002	1/15/2002		No deposit			
2002	2/15/2002		No deposit			2
2002	3/15/2002	3/8/2002	3/80.00		\$ 3,980.00	2
2002	4/15/2002		No deposit		\$ 3,980.00	A, B
itements between Ma	y and August were not availe	able.	The deposit			2
2002	9/13/2002	No available	13,000.00		13,000.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	2,500.00		2,500.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	2,500.00		2,500.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	2,000.00		2,000.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	100.00		100.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	no available	1,500.00		1,500.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	2,400.00		2,400.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	4,410.00		4,410.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	3,700.00		3,700.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	3,500.00		3,500.00	A
2002	9/13/2002	No available	1,500.00		1,500.00	A
Total Year 2002			41,090.00		41,090.00	

EXHIBIT

2

nberg No. 5208

					Barred by Brady's C	Barred by Judge Brady's Opinioin	
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits	Adjustment	Adjuster Amount	Tickmark	
2006	1/15/2007	12/20/2006	1,400.00		1,400.00	A	
2006	1/15/2007	12/20/2006	2,000.00		2,000.00	A	
Total Year 2006			3,400.00	-	3,400.00		
2007	1/15/2007	1/9/2007	4 400 00 1				
2007	1/15/2007	1/9/2007	1,400.00		1,400.00	A	
2007	1/15/2007	1/12/2007	3,030.00		3,030.00	A	
2007	2/15/2007	2/9/2007	0.60	(0.60)	•	A, 3	
2007	2/15/2007		1,355.00		1,355.00	A	
2007	2/15/2007	2/9/2007	2 585.00		2,585.00	A	
2007		2/15/2007	1.30	(1.30)	-	A, 3	
2007	3/15/2007	3/2/2007	3,030.00		3,030.00	A	
2007	3/15/2007	3/2/2007	1,000.00		1,000.00	A	
	3/15/2007	3/14/2007	2,145.00		2,145.00	Α	
2007	3/15/2007	3/14/2007	3,030.00		3,030.00	A	
2007	3/15/2007	3/15/2007	1.20	(1.20)		A, 3	
2007	4/15/2007	4/12.2007	3.00	(3.00)		A, 3	
2007	5/15/2007	4/24/2007	2,100.00	and the second s	2,100.00	A	
2007	5/15/2007	4/7/12007	1,800.00		1,800.00	A	
2007	5/15/2007	8/10/2007	1.40	(1.40)		A, 3	
2007	6/15/2007	5/23/2007	700.00		700.00	A	
2007	6/15/2007	5/23/2007	3,600.00		3,600.00	A	
2007	6/15/2007	6/4/2007	975.67		975.67	A	
2007	6/15/2007	6/4/2007	57.18		57.18	A	
2007	6/15/2007	6/4/2007	106.73		106.73	A	
2007	6/15/2007	6/7/2007	407.00		407.00	A	
2007	715/2007	6/20/2007	1,780.00		1,780.00	A	
2007	7/15/2007	6/20/2007	1,400.00		1,400.00	A	
2007	7/15/2007	7/9/2007	1,325.00		1,325.00	A	
2007	7/15/2007	7/9/2007	2,000.00		2,000.00	A	
2007	8/15/2007	7/18/2007	700.00		700.00	A	
2007	8/15/2007	7/18/2007	2,285.00		2,285.00	A	
2007	8/15/2007	8/5/2007	1,100.00		1,100.00	A	
2007	8/15/2007	8/6/2007	700.00		700.00	A	
2007	8/15/2007	8/15/2007	1.40	(1.40)		A, 3	
2007	9/15/2007	9/14/2007	0.60	(0.60)		A, 3	

Mart			No. Concernance	Rents Collected by unrelated to Partner allocated as a Part	ership, but tnership	kma
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits	withdrawal by BDO		
2007	10/15/2007	9/19/2007	3,685.00		3,685.00	Not
2007	10/15/2007	9/19/2007	3,000.00		3,000.00	A
2007	10/15/2007	10/15/2007	0.90	(0.90)	3,000.00	Α,
2007	11/15/2011	11/15/2007	0.30	(0.30)		A,
2007	12/15/2007	11/20/2007	2,585.00	(2,585.00	A
2007	12/15/2007	11/20/2007	6,040.00		6,040.00	A
2007	12/15/2007	12/14/2007	1.80	(1.80)		A, 3
Total Year 2007			53,934.08	(12.50)	53,921.58	, ·
2008	1/15/2008	1/8/2008	1,400.00	T	1,400.00	
2008	1/15/2008	1/9/2008	1,100.00		1,100.00	A A
2008	1/15/2008	1/25/2007	0.90	(0.90)	1,100.00	
2008	2/15/2008	1/24/2008	4,400.00	(0.70)	4,400.00	A, 3
2008	2/15/2008	1/24/2008	2,100.00		2,100.00	A
2008	2/15/2008	2/15/2008	1.80	(1.80)	2,100.00	A, 3
2008	3/15/2008	3/14/2008	1,400.00	(1.00)	1,400.00	A, 3
2008	3/15/2008	3/14/2008	4,900.00		4,900.00	A
2008	3/15/2008	3/14/2008	1.20	(1.20)	4,700.00	A, 3
2008	4/15/2008	4/15/2008	5,350.00	(5,350.00	A, 3
2008	4/15/2008	4/15/2008	1,700.00		1,700.00	A
2008	4/15/2008	4/15/2008	0.60	(0.60)	1,700.00	A, 3
2008	5/15/2008	5/15/2008	1.80	(1.80)		A, 3
2008	6/15/2008	6/4/2008	1,300.00	(1100)	1,300.00	A, 3
2008	6/15/2008	6/4/2008	2,800.00		2,800.00	A
2008	6/15/2008	6/13/2008	0.30	(0.30)	2,000.00	A, 3
2008	7/15/2008	6/26/2008	2,400.00	(100)	2,400.00	A
2008	7/15/2008	6/26/2008	2,200.00		2,200.00	A
2008	7/15/2008	7/15/2008	1.20	(1.20)		A, 3
2008	8/15/2008	8/15/2008	0.90	(0.90)		A, 3
ement for September	was not available.			((110)		- A, 3
2008	10/15/2008	9/18/2008	3,040.00		3,040.00	A
2008	10/15/2008	9/18/2008	1,500.00		1,500.00	A
2008	10/15/2008	9/24/2008	1,740.00		1,740.00	A
2008	10/15/2008	9/24/2008	1,400.00		1,400.00	A
2008	10/15/2008	10/10/2008	1,920.00		1,920.00	A

				unrealted to Par allocated as a P	artnership	
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits	withdrawal by B	DO	ck
2008	10/15/2008	10/10/2008	2,200.00	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP	2,200.00	N
2008	10/15/2008	10/15/2008	1.50	(1.50)	2,200.00	
2008	11/15/2008	11/15/2008	1.20	(1.20)		A
2008	12/15/2008		No deposit	(1110)		-
2008	1/15/2009	12/31/2008	74.55		74.55	
Total Year 2008			42,935.95	(11.40)	42,924.55	
				(11.10)	42,724.33	
2009	1/15/2009	1/2/2009	400.00		400.00	
2009	1/15/2009	1/7/2009	1,400.00		1,400.00	
2009	1/15/2009	1/8/2009	3,450.00		3,450.00	
2009	1/15/2009	1/13/2009	4,540.00		4,540.00	
2009	1/15/2009	1/13/2009	1,280.00			
2009	2/15/2009	1/23/2009	2,540.00		1,280.00	-
2009	2/15/2009	1/23/2009	770.00		2,540.00	4
2009	2/15/2009	2/13/2009	0.90	(0.90)	770.00	
2009	3/15/2009	2/17/2009	5,819.00	(0.70)	E 910 00	Α,
2009	3/15/2009	2/17/2009	770.00		5,819.00 770.00	
2009	4/15/2009	3/31/2009	770.00			
2009	4/15/2009	3/31/2009	2,240.00		770.00	
2009	4/15/2009	4/4/2009	3,000.00		2,240.00	
2009	4/15/2009	4/8/2009	2,930.00		3,000.00	
2009	5/15/2009	4/28/2009	770.00		2,930.00	-
2009	5/15/2009	4/28/2009	4,690.00		770.00	-
2009	5/15/2009	5/9/2009	4,020.00		4,690.00	1
2009	5/15/2009	5/9/2009	1,270.00		4,020.00	-
2009	6/15/2009	6/3/2009	1,000.00		1,270.00	
2009	6/15/2009	6/3/2009	2,170.00		1,000.00	-
2009	6/15/2009	6/11/2009	2,500.00		2,170.00	
2009	7/15/2009	7/10/2009	3,080.00		2,500.00	
2009	7/15/2009	7/10/2009	1,540.00		3,080.00	
2009	8/15/2009	8/3/2009	2,900.00		1,540.00	A
2009	8/15/2009	8/3/2009	2,605.00		2,900.00	A
2009	8/15/2009	8/14/2009	0.60	(0 (0)	2,605.00	A
2009	9/15/2009	9/2/2009	3,540.00	(0.60)		Α,
2009	9/15/2009	9/2/2009	770.00		3,540.00	A
		71 21 2007	//0.00		770.00	A

					ed by Mafi Hame Partnership, but	
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits	Adjust allocated as a Partnership withdrawal by BDO		
2009	9/15/2009	9/15/2009	1.50		DDO	_
2009	10/15/2009	10/8/2009	2,270.00	(1100)	2,270.00	~, A
2009	10/15/2009	10/8/2009	3,770.00		3,770.00	A
2009	10/15/2009	10/8/2009	7,000.00		7,000.00	A
2009	10/15/2009	10/15/2009	1.20	(1.20)	7,000.00	Α,
2009	11/15/2009	11/3/2009	770.00	(1020)	770.00	A
2009	11/15/2009	11/13/2009	0.60	(0.60)	770.00	A,
2009	11/15/2009	11/3/2009	3,875.00	(0.00)	3,875.00	-^, A
2009	12/15/2009	12/2/2009	770.00		770.00	A
2009	12/15/2009	12/2/2009	1,770.00		1,770.00	A
2009	12/15/2009	12/9/2009	2,040.00		2,040.00	A
2009	12/15/2009	12/9/2009	1,000.00		1,000.00	
2009	12/15/2009	12/15/2009	1.20	(1.20)	1,000.00	A A,
Total Year 2009	1		84,035.00	(6.00)	84,029.00	Α,
				(000)		
2010	1/15/2010	1/7/2010	2,310.00		2,310.00	A
2010	1/15/2010	1/13/200	1.20	(1.20)	2,510.00	Α,
2010	2/15/2010	2/12/2010	1.50	(1.50)		A, .
2010	3/15/2010	3/3/2010	6,940.00	()	6,940.00	A
2010	3/15/2010	3/10/2010	4,640.00		4,640.00	A
2010	3/15/2010	3/15/2010	0.60	(0.60)		A, 1
2010	4/15/2010	4/15/2010	1.20	(1.20)		A, :
2010	5/15/2009	5/3/2010	6,890.00		6,890.00	A
2010	5/15/2009	5/14/2010	0.90	(0.90)		A, 1
2010	6/15/2010	6/13/2010	0.60	(0.60)		A, 3
2010	7/15/2010	7/2/2010	7,330.00		7,330.00	A
2010	7/15/2010	7/15/2010	1.20	(1.20)		A, :
2010	8/15/2010	7/21/2010	3,010.00		3,010.00	A
2010	8/15/2010	8/13/2010	1.80	(1.80)	-,	A, 3
2010	9/15/2010	9/14/2010	6,120.00	,,	6,120.00	A
2010	9/15/2010	9/15/2010	0.90	(0.90)	-	A, 3
2010	10/15/2010	10/4/2010	4,540.00		4,540.00	A
2010	10/15/2010	10/15/2010	1.20	(1.20)	.,	A, 3
2010	11/15/2010	11/3/2010	4,040.00		4,040.00	A
2010	11/15/2010	11/15/2010	0.90	(0.90)		A, 3

				unrelated to allocated as	nts collected by Mafi Hamed related to Partnership, but ocated as a Partnership hdrawal by BDO	
Year	Statement Date	Transaction Date	Deposits			
2010	12/15/2010	12/14/2010	500.00		500.00	Note A
2010	12/15/2010	12/14/2010	600.00		600.00	A
2010	12/15/2010	12/15/2010	6,120.00		6,120.00	A
Total Year 2010		53,052.00	(12.00)	53,040.00		
2014						
2011	1/15/2011	1/6/2011	600.00		600.00	A
2011	1/15/2011	1/10/2011	1,540.00		1,540.00	A
2011	2/15/2011	1/21/2011	2,650.00		2,650.00	A
2011	3/15/2011	3/9/2011	4,350.00		4,350.00	A
2011	3/15/2011	3/15/2011	1.90	(1.90)		A, 3
2011	4/15/2011	4/15/2011	0.30	(0.30)		A, 3
2011	5/15/2011	5/9/2011	7,340.00		7,340.00	A
2011	6/15/2011	6/15/2011	2,560.00		2,560.00	A
2011	6/15/2011	6/15/2011	0.60	(0.60)	-	A, 3
2011	7/15/2011	7/15/2011	1.60	(1.60)		A, 3
2011	8/15/2011	8/4/2011	7,975.00		7,975.00	A
2011	8/15/2011	8/15/2011	0.90	(0.90)		A, 3
2011	9/15/2011	8/30/2011	3,570.00		3,570.00	A
2011	9/15/2011	9/15/2011	3,409.00		3,409.00	A
2011	9/15/2011	9/15/2011	1.60	(1.60)		A, 3
2011	10/15/2011	10/13/2011	1,000.00	(1,000.00)	-	A,4
2011	11/15/2011	10/26/2011	1,000.00	(1,000.00)		A,4
2011	11/15/2011	11/3/2011	2,310.00		2,310.00	A
2011	11/15/2011	11/8/2011	2,940.00		2,940.00	A
2011	12/15/2011	11/22/2011	800.00		800.00	A
2011	12/15/2011	12/15/2011	1.20	(1.20)		A, 3
Total Year 2011			42,052.10	(2,008.10)	40,044.00	
2012	1/15/2012	41010010				
2012	1/15/2012	1/9/2012	5,450.00	(2,310.00)	3,140.00	A, 5
2012	1/15/2012	1/13/2012	0.90	(0.90)	•	A, 3
2012	2/15/2012	1/25/2012	1,400.00		1,400.00	A
2012	2/15/2012	2/14/2012	3,210.00	(1,540.00)	1,670.00	A, 6
2012	3/15/2012	3/13/2012	2,340.00	(1,540.00)	800.00	A, 7
2012	3/15/2012	3/15/2012	1.50	(1.50)	•	A, 3
LUIL	4/15/2012	4/5/2012	2,170.00	(770.00)	1,400.00	A, 8